



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,136	09/12/2003	Alejandro J. Gonzalez	1 . 839-B. 03	9087

7590 11/09/2004

MALLOY & MALLOY, P.A.
Historic Coral Way
2800 S.W. Third Avenue
Miami, FL 33129

EXAMINER

LEUNG, RICHARD L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3744

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/662,136

Applicant(s)

GONZALEZ, ALEJANDRO J.

Examiner

Richard L. Leung

Art Unit

3744

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6619048 B1 (Gonzalez). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim the same subject matter.

In regard to claim 1, Gonzalez already claims in claim 1 an effects generation system operative to produce a special effects cloud comprising a container containing a quantity of a cryogenic fluid (part a), the container having a fluid outlet disposed in fluid communication with the cryogenic fluid within the container (part b), a pressurization assembly structured to maintain a substantially continuous outflow of the cryogenic fluid through the fluid outlet (part c), a delivery assembly operatively connected to said fluid outlet and including an outlet assembly structured to deliver the cryogenic fluid into a predetermined area (part d), and the pressurization assembly further structured to substantially equalize fluid flow pressure to said outlet assembly (part e).

In regard to dependent claims 3-7, these claims recite identical subject matter as dependent claims 2-6 of Gonzalez, and therefore need no further explanation.

In regard to claim 8, Gonzalez already claims in claim 11 an effects generation system operative to produce a special effects cloud comprising a cryogenic fluid source including a quantity of cryogenic fluid and a fluid outlet (part a), a pressurization assembly structured to maintain a pressurized outflow of the cryogenic fluid through the fluid outlet (part b), a delivery assembly connected to the fluid outlet structured to deliver the cryogenic fluid into a predetermined area (part c), a plurality of delivery ports connected in fluid flow communication with the fluid outlet and disposed in a predetermined array so as to deliver said cryogenic fluid in a defined pattern in a predetermined area (part d), and a quantity of reactive fluid disposed in the predetermined area in reactive proximity with the cryogenic fluid (part e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 3744

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 2 recites the limitation whereby, "said pressurization assembly is integrally formed with said container." No support for this limitation could be found in Applicant's disclosure. While it is noted that page 11, lines 2-4 recites that the pressurization assembly is operatively associated with the cryogenic fluid source, and preferably with the container, this is insufficient support for the claim because being operatively associated with the container is not deemed equivalent to being integrally formed with the container. Furthermore, it is noted from the specification that the pressurization assembly comprises at least one pressurized fluid source and may further comprise a pressure regulator, but there is no written description in the specification that would enable any person skilled in the art to form these components integrally with the container. This rejection may be overcome by showing where the limitations of the claim are supported in the specification.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3744


5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Leung whose telephone number is 703-306-4154. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Leung
Examiner
Art Unit 3744

rl


SPE A.U. 3744